

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/886,685 | 06/21/2001 | Barry H. Schwab | FNI-02204/03 | 8645 |
| 25006 | 7590 07/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE | | | HANEY, MATTHEW J | |
| ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, PC 280 N OLD WOODARD AVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 400 | | | 2613 | |
| BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 | | | DATE MAILED: 07/14/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|------------|
| | 09/886,685 | SCHWAB ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Matthew Haney | 2613 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply | inication appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON only will, by statute, cause the application to become Als after the mailing date of this communication, even if | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | 1 . |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) fi | iled on | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. | n for allowance except for formal mat ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D | · | • |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restr | are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by t | | b. The English | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc | | | |
| | iection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyaring the correction is required if the drawing | • • | 1\ |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected | | | .,. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internat | n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. (y documents have been received. y documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not | application No received in this National Stage | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. | (PTO-948) Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/886,685 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 1 of Washino (US 6,370,198 B1).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying the audio/video production system of the present application by incorporating the teaching of US Patent No. 6,370,198 B1. The motivation for performing such modification in the present application is to improve the processing capability and to increase the data transfer as taught by Washino (Column 6, Lines 61-67).

Claims 2-14 are rejected by dependency on claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 6,356,945 B1).

As for claims 1,7, 10, 13, 15-16, and 18, Shaw teaches of an audio/video production

system that comprises of a high-speed serial input for receiving an audio/video program and having an input format and an input frame rate (Note: high speed small computer system interface, Column 8, Lines 53-56); a serial-to-parallel converter in communication with the input for outputting the program onto a data bus (Note: converted from serial to parallel and decode the appropriate header, Column 8, Lines 31-35); a high capacity read/write medium interfaced to the data bus for storing at least

Application/Control Number: 09/886,685

Art Unit: 2613

a portion of the audio/video program and a format converter interfaced to the data bus for outputting the audio/video program with an output format and output frame rate (Note: the video bus interconnects the frame memory with such components at the capture processor and the display processor (i.e. format converter), Column 7, Lines 22-28); a format converter interfaced to the data bus for outputting the audio/video program over a high-speed serial network (Column 3, Lines 20-32); and the equipment enables multiple users to access or manipulate the audio/video program (Note: the devices would interconnect with the multimedia communications assembly to allow the user/operator to control, complement and utilize the functions of the electronic devices by means of the multimedia communications assembly, Column 3, Lines 15-20).

As for claims 2 and 6, the use of a high-speed serial input conforming to the IEEE standard is considered as inherent and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because of its universal use as a high data throughput device.

As for claims 3-5, Shaw teaches of an input in an enhanced or high definition format (Column 3, Lines 40-54); wherein the program is output in an MPEG or Motion-JPEG format (Column 14, Lines 1-15); wherein the program is output in a high-speed serial form (Note: the small computer interface is readily available and capable of providing high speed interface between the internal system bus and the external host, Column 15, Lines 41-44).

As for claims 8-9, and 17, Shaw teaches of equipment that facilitates streaming video (i.e. in real time) over the Internet or other network (Column 8, Lines 66-67 and

Art Unit: 2613

Column 9, Lines 1-10); and wherein the equipment provides archival storage (i.e. old frames) of the audio/video program (Column 11, Lines 32-41).

Page 5

As for claims 11-12, and 14, Shaw teaches of multiple format converters, each interfaced to the data bus (Column 3, Lines 40-54); a digital effects unit for manipulation of the audio and/or video portions of the program (Column 3, Lines 15-20, and Column 14, Lines 12-16); wherein the input and output frame rates are 24, 25, or 30 frames-persecond, or any integer multiple or integer fraction thereof (Column 6, Lines 23-27).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shaw (US 5,706,290) discloses an audio/video processor with control functions capable of conversion with HDTV, MPEG, JPEG, etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is 703-305-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7-4:30), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,685

Art Unit: 2613

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600